

# Advisory Team: English Language Learners

## MINUTES

APRIL 19, 2016]

[5:00 PM TO 7:00PM]

[PSU, 2 PILLSBURY ST. CONCORD, NH]

ADVISORY TEAM LEAD	Aaron Hughes
NOTE TAKER	Kathryn "Joey" Nichol
ATTENDEES	William Ross, Denis Jobin, Danielle Boutin, Joey Nichol, Cynthia Proulx, Mariane Robert, Nancy Morse, Judy Sharkey
OBSERVERS	n/a
PRE-READ MATERIALS	WB-NPS_Response to ESSA_v4 031116.pdf Negotiated Rulemaking Committee_5a.pdf Negotiated Rulemaking Committee_5b.pdf Goggle Drive: <a href="https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B6nc-AcvbcskN3piSTFacUNtZEE">https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B6nc-AcvbcskN3piSTFacUNtZEE</a>

DISCUSSION	Mtg 4.19.16 folder: <a href="https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B6nc-AcvbcskRVN1OGpaa2VHTEU">https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B6nc-AcvbcskRVN1OGpaa2VHTEU</a>
<p>Welcome &amp; Review Aaron Hughes</p> <p>Introducing NH DOE's ESSA Advisories website and other groups that have formed</p> <p>Growing the EL Advisory Team</p> <p>-How is the outreach going?</p> <p>-Do we have additional educators to include? How about families, community members, and other organizations?</p> <p>-Judy Sharkey volunteered to create an excel document in Google, for all members to add invited guests</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Invite a representative from Spec Ed</li> <li>2) Guidance counselor</li> <li>3) Parent of ELL</li> <li>4) Community organizations working with new Americans</li> </ol> <p>WIDA Statement</p> <p>Discussion included:</p> <p>-Section II addressed the translations &amp; exit criterion</p> <p>-These questions were asked to U.S.ED</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) A common Home Language Survey (HLS) - what other states use <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Administration of it</li> </ol> </li> <li>2) Common screening instrument</li> <li>3) What is the LEA's responsibility in following SEA defined entrance and exit requirements? Can federal funds be used to support the creation, implementation, and/or administration of common entry &amp; exit requirements? Common ground on Home Language Survey (HLS). What is the liability of administering the HLS</li> <li>4) ACCESS scores <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) What is on the table?</li> <li>b) It was pretty "harsh" to only use ACCESS© scores as the exit scores</li> <li>c) Need flexibility</li> <li>d) Need 3 points of data</li> <li>e) How does this work with Special Ed</li> <li>f) ESOL is opt in &amp; opt out - parents choose or decline service</li> <li>g) If district does not test they can be penalized for non-participation</li> <li>h) What would the exit for Special Ed look like</li> <li>i) Alternative ACCESS©</li> <li>j) <b>Tabled for later discussion</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>5) The way students are identified can prevent them from gifted &amp; AP courses, locking them out career &amp; educational opportunities</li> <li>6) How are we looking at the whole child? <u>How do we establish more criteria to determine who starts a program and when they meet proficiency?</u></li> <li>7) NH looking at learning progressions/personalized learning</li> <li>8) Need for highly qualified teachers &amp; well trained core instruction teachers</li> <li>9) Adaptive on line test...bias</li> <li>10) Translation, part III if the WIDA letter. NHDOE title I funds can be used for translating communications home. ESSA specifically states that the state can provide supplemental support this is a one of the biggest changes providing translation &amp; interpretation <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) People fear the cost of translation</li> <li>b) Translation articles, highlight pages 25 &amp; 26</li> </ol> </li> <li>11) Recommendations for state planning team (EL Advisory proposal...)</li> </ol> <p>First guidance Issue Paper 5b (Negotiated Rulemaking)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) ELP assessments in Title I <b>\$200.6. Inclusion of all students.</b> First sample of regulations</li> <li>2) Every state must choose one uniform assessment of ELP NHDOE &amp; Title III are working very hard on this one</li> </ol>	

- 3) If a state develops a computer-adaptive assessment to measure English language proficiency consistent with section III(b)(2)(J) of the act, the state must ensure the computer-adaptive assessment meets all requirements
  - a) Affective filters - largest concern
  - b) This could be where we talk about flexibility i.e. paper test or available on ipad
  - c) if ESL accommodations should be given to "all students"

#### Issue Paper #5a *unresolved*

- 1) The statute specifies that a State must make every effort to develop assessments in languages (other than English) present "to a significant extent" in the student population of the State. What must a State do to demonstrate it has met the requirement to "make every effort?" What constitutes a language present to a "significant extent?"
- 2) How does the need to ensure all ELLs are assessed in the language and form most likely to yield accurate data on their knowledge and abilities interact with potential challenges a State may face in developing assessments in languages other than English?

#### Civil Right Data Collection & State data

- 1) 5,345 ELLs in NH
- 2) 95+ languages in our schools
- 3) Spanish, Nepali, Arabic and Chinese (top 4 spoken in NH schools)

Next steps - see action items section

### CONCLUSIONS

#### Re-cap 3 Main Points

- 1) Translations
- 2) Home Language Survey (HLS)
  - a) Inconsistency - how can this be corrected, options for parent outreach & teacher training
- 3) Entry & Exit Criteria

#### Next time

- 1) A closer look at HLS - How to fold into registration process - i4see?
- 2) Possibility of some parent meeting out in the community
- 3) Maybe a new focus around the ACCESS<sup>®</sup> test or the lack of knowledge
  - a) Campaign to increase awareness in the ESOL guidance
  - b) Put the ACCESS<sup>®</sup> calendar on the state calendar
  - c) Low incidence schools, harder to get credibility for testing - space issues
- 4) USED-to ensure there are no marginalized populations
- 5) Translation & interpreters
- 6) Who to invite for June meeting, families?
- 7) Who to invite for May meeting, perhaps organizations that work with refugees
  - a) Deadline for names ...
  - b) 3 or 4 people to talk about the HLS

#### Objectives

- 1) Growing the team
- 2) Review statement from WIDA Consortium
- 3) Review Negotiated Rulemaking 5a and 5b
- 4) Review & discuss
  - a) Identifying ELLs and HLS (Home Language Survey) procedure
- 5) Getting ready to draft recommendations

NEXT MEETING: May 18, 2016 5:00pm to 7:00pm PSU 2 Pillsbury Street Concord

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Readings for next meeting	All members	5/18 (next mtg)
CCSSO Critical Area Outline: English Language Learners... <a href="https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B6nc-AcvbcskZzJRS1IBUnNEa1E">https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B6nc-AcvbcskZzJRS1IBUnNEa1E</a>	All members	5/18
OELA EL Toolkit Chapter 1: Identifying English Language Learners <a href="http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap1.pdf">http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap1.pdf</a>	All members	5/18
OELA EL Toolkit Chapter 8: Tools and Resources for Monitoring and Exiting English Learners from EL Programs and Services <a href="http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap8.pdf">http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap8.pdf</a>	All members	5/18